Application No. Applicant(s) 10/737,298 KEMPER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3624 Lalita M. Hamilton All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Robert Glance. (1) Lalita M. Hamilton. (2) David Luettgen. (4)_____ Date of Interview: 04 May 2006. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative] c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: claims of record. Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed with the Examiner why the prior art of record did not read onto the present invention. The Applicant submitted a proposed amendment for consideration by the Examiner. The Applicant will file a formal response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required